

Trans Mountain Expansion Project – Westridge Marine Terminal (WMT) Compliance Verification Activity (CVA) Report

Date	Ма	arch 3, 2021	Call start	1:30 PM	Call end	3:00 PM	
					time:	<u> </u>	
Format	VV e	eb-based conference	e call with I rar	is Mountain pre	senting photo	ograpns,	
	do	cuments and/or vide	os relevant to	the expansion of	of the WMI.		
Fisheries and Oceans	W.	B. (Senior Biologist)	, K.J. (Biologis	st) and I.M. (Biol	ogist)		
Canada (DFO) attendees							
Indigenous Advisory	Μι	Isqueam Nation: J.H	I. (Environmen	tal Monitor), R.I	<. (Environme	ental	
Monitoring Committee	Ste	ewardship Coordinat	or) and B.G. (Environmental N	Aonitor)		
(IAMC) attendees	Ts	leil-Waututh Nation:	A.S. (Referrals	s Analyst – Envi	ironmental As	ssessments)	
	an	d J.S. (Environmenta	al Monitor)				
	IAI	MC – Monitoring Sub	ocommittee: C	T. (IAMC repres	sentative – B	urrard Inlet	
	an	d Lower Fraser Rive	r, from Tsleil-V	Vaututh Nation)	and R.C. (IA	MC	
	rec	oresentative – Albert	a First Nations	s) ´	,		
	No	te: R.C. ioined late o	due to a conflic	, t with another n	neetina		
Other attendees	Tra	ans Mountain Corpor	ration (TMC): I	K.M. (Regulator	v Lead), S.D.	(Lead	
	En	vironmental Inspecto	or). B.J. (Chief	Environmental	Inspector). T	.À.	
	(C	onstruction Manager) and L.B. (Fie	eld Regulatory A	dvisor)		
	Kw	vikwetlem First Natio	n (KFN) [•] M .I	(Project IM)			
On-site		Role					
contractor/equipment							
Trans Mountain Corporation	n	Site Management					
Kiewit Ledcor Trans Mounta	ain	Prime construction contractor					
Partnership (KLTP)							
JASCO Applied Sciences	Underwater noise monitoring during vibratory and impact pile driving.						
Triton Environmental		Marine mammal monitoring and water quality testing.					
Consultants (Triton)		-		-			
Keller		Deep soil mixing (D	SM) and jet g	routing works or	n the foresho	re.	
IAMC Indigenous Monitor/IMSC Representative Questions and Comments							

On behalf of RC, prior to RC joining the call, WB asked if there had been any changes in the contractors working at the WMT. TMC confirmed there has been no change in the main contractors and their subcontractors, except for some minor additions of support contractors.

CT asked if TMC conducted drilling or pile driving on February 19th. TMC responded that they will have to review their schedule to confirm. On March 16th, TMC confirmed that approximately 1 hour of impact pile driving occurred on February 19, 2021, at the WMT.

AS asked how long the grout spoils on the foreshore take to cure in the pits and if curing time is impacted by rainfall. TMC responded that spoils typically take 24 hours to cure, but may take up to 48 hours during colder rainy periods. TMC said that cement mix is occasionally added to reduce the curing time and that excess water leftover after the grout cures is pumped from the pits to a water treatment plant on the foreshore.

JH asked if the spoils barge is within the foreshore turbidity curtain. TMC explained the barge is located outside of the curtain, but other mitigations measures are in place to avoid grout from entering the ocean (e.g., containment below the conveyor, offloading the barge regularly, and ensuring the barge deck is watertight).



AS asked if any new erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures have been implemented since the past CVA and if so, has the implementation been precautionary or reactionary. TMC confirmed no new ESC measures have been implemented on the foreshore and existing controls have been effective. Some new ESC measures have been used around the east outfall (e.g. poly-sheeting on exposed ground).

AS noted there is a delay in receiving monthly construction monitoring reports as the January 2021 report from TMC received today discusses ESC measures at the east outfall, but during this CVA photos show that those ESC measures have since been removed. TMC described the new ESC measures that are in use at the outfall and explained the time lag.

AS asked if the daily environmental reports specified in the monthly construction monitoring reports are available daily or following the month end. WB noted that TMC monthly construction reports state that daily reports may be requested from TMC. TMC added that weekly versions of the monthly reports are distributed to the Vancouver Fraser Port Authority and may be distributed to the IAMC upon request. TMC sent the February 22-27th weekly report on March 8th following the CVA.

WB, following an earlier discussion with CT, asked if TMC is able to provide other options or formats for viewing site construction as virtual CVA's are likely to continue. CT added that video in real-time would be ideal and suggested that a bit of creativity may go a long way. TMC stated that for safety reasons, onsite video may be challenging, but TMC will internally discuss potential options.

RC asked if there is a timeline for when IAMC and DFO monitors will be able to conduct in-person CVA's. WB explained DFO's view, at this time, is based on the Provincial public health restrictions.

AS asked if the spotting scope has been used, if the marine mammal monitoring program is performing to expectations and whether any deficiencies have been identified. TMC confirmed the program is working well. If Triton Environmental, the marine mammal monitoring consultant, does not feel they can visually monitor effectively, they will make that call and inform TMC. TMC could then pause impact pile driving.

RC asked about the procedure and timeline for notification and consultation with Indigenous communities involving an amendment to the offsetting date within the *Fisheries Act* authorization for Westridge. WB explained that it depends on the type of amendment requested and there is no set timeline for consultation. TMC added that the amendment involves changes to the timeline of offsetting implementation and that major changes are not anticipated.

Call Overview

- Introductions
- Review scope of monthly CVAs
 - WB: This call is in place of a typical in-person joint DFO-IAMC Indigenous Monitor CVA site visit given the current situation of the COVID-19 pandemic. This compliance monitoring is to verify conditions of the *Fisheries Act* Authorization for the WMT and also verifying general compliance with the *Fisheries Act*.
- Agenda
 - Overview of recent construction activities
 - o Overview of habitat offsetting

Works undertaken at Westridge since recommencement of construction activities following the safety shut-down measures

SD provided an overview of construction activities:



- **Foreshore** foreshore extension completed (e.g. cells, arcs and backfilling), continued ground improvement works on the foreshore, grout spoils taken offsite for disposal, and east outfall pipe installation has commenced.
- **Marine** Mooring dolphins (welding shear lugs to connect jackets to piles), Loading Platform 1/2 (formwork and rebar installed for upcoming concrete pours and equipment installation), trestle supports (large concrete deck pours), vibratory and impact pile driving, and pile clean out to verify till elevation inside piles for geotechnical evaluation.

TMC stated that as of March 1st, underwater noise thresholds related to impact pile driving must adhere to a more conservative threshold of 207 dB re: 1µPa and all nearshore works will be completed by end of the extended least risk window (March 15th) as per the as *Fisheries Act* Authorization for Westridge.

WB asked TMC when construction activities resumed and for the general schedule following resumption of works at Westridge. TMC responded that works gradually resumed over a two week period starting February 8th after all on-site personnel completed new safety training.

SD showed photos of works on the foreshore and provided details regarding mitigation measures associated with them:

- Sheet-pile cell walls adjacent to the ocean are kept tall (above design elevation) while ground improvements works are on-going, acting as a barrier to the water.
 - KJ asked if further tests have been completed to ensure ground improvements works along the sheet-pile cell walls adjacent to the ocean does not result in grout entering the ocean. TMC confirmed that in these areas grout is released at lower pressures so that it permeates slowly through the ground and provided information on testing.
- Grout spoils from ground improvement works cure within pits on the extended foreshore.
 - Excess water leftover from the curing process is treated onsite prior to release.
 - Cured solid spoils are loaded via a conveyor onto a barge for offsite disposal. A tarp fitted beneath the conveyor collects grout spoils so that they do not enter the ocean below. The barge is well sealed (e.g. lock-blocks and caulking) and is cleaned at the end of each day.
- East outfall pipe installation involving construction of a new manhole and outfall pipe at the eastern end of the site within the upper intertidal zone.
 - Turbidity curtain encompasses work area, poly-sheeting is placed over exposed surfaces overnight, and a contractor, Triton Environmental, is conducting a full water quality program.

SD showed photos of works offshore and provided details regarding mitigation measures associated with them:

- Pile clean out of trestle supports (TS) involving sediment and till removal from within the piles for geotechnical assessment
 - Turbidity curtain surrounds piles and swing zone for loading spoils to the barge.
 - WB asked what the water depth is at the trestle supports. TMC confirmed the depth ranges from 6 m at TS1 to 18 m at TS2 and the supports are within the nearshore area.
- Concrete pours on the superstructure
 - End of pumper truck hose is clamped and bagged to ensure no spillage. On the barge there
 is a large concrete waste tray to contain any spillage. "Plant nappies" are in place under
 appropriate equipment/vehicles to absorb any hydrocarbons.
- Impact pile driving junction platform and trestle support piles
 - Noise monitoring via hydrophones, pre-dive inspection of bubble curtain, flow monitoring for hoses, fish acoustic deterrents deployed and marine mammal monitoring occurring.



• WB asked if any fish movement has been observed when using the acoustic deterrents. SD confirmed no fish have been seen lately.

KJ asked if the harbour seal acoustic deterrent is being used during impact pile driving. SD confirmed that it is and explained that 5 marine mammal monitors are required to visually asses the appropriate marine mammal exclusion zone while 4 deterrents are in use. The spotting scope is required when more than 4 deterrents are in use and would be positioned on the crows nest of the DB General barge. KJ asked if TMC has a plan in place if more than 4 deterrents are needed. TMC confirmed they have a monitoring plan for the use of additional deterrents.

Following AS's interest, WB asked if TMC may provide specifications and photos of the spotting scope. SD confirmed they can provide that information. Specifications and a photo were provided by TMC on March 8th.

WB asked how long the seal deterrents are being used. TMC stated they have to be engaged for at least 30 minutes prior to pile driving and are used for the duration of the time the pile is impacted.

KJ asked if there is a plan to use the secondary bubble curtain to further attenuate underwater noise during impact pile driving and if JASCO's report is complete. TMC stated they do not intend to deploy the secondary bubble curtain unless elevated noise levels are experienced. The secondary bubble curtain further attenuates underwater noise by 7 dB near the bottom of the pile and 12 dB in the mid-water column. TMC provided JASCO's report for the testing of the secondary bubble curtain on March 9th.

KJ asked if any marine mammals other than harbour seals have been observed, to which SD responded no. **Habitat Offsetting**

Following on conversation from last CVA and questions about the timing of the installation of the offset, TMC confirmed they will likely be seeking an amendment to extend the December 31, 2021, deadline to complete offsetting.

SD showed photos depicting the rock-reef complex and explained it is meant to offset the habitat that is being lost (rocky intertidal and rocky sub-tidal habitat) due to the foreshore expansion.

- Includes nursery reefs (4), integrated reefs (4), and adult reefs (4) with different depths, rock sizes and orientations appropriate for different life stages of a variety of fish species
- The offsetting is within the Eastern Burrard Inlet Rockfish Conservation Area

TA explained the constraints on constructing the rock-reef complex

- Reefs must be constructed within the least-risk window for Burrard Inlet (August 16 February 28)
- Cannot be constructed until the expanded foreshore is complete
- Due to delays, TMC will likely seek an amendment to complete construction of the offsetting during the 2022/2023 least risk window



GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS MITIGATION MEASURES

Measures specified within the Westridge Marine Terminal Fisheries Act Authorization Conditions:

Schedule							
2.2.6 All nearshore in-water Project construction activities (within a 50-m horizontal distance seaward of the higher high water large tide level) at the Westridge Marine Terminal shall only be carried out during a work timing window from August 16 to March 15 each year.							
Discussed/ observed:	⊠ Yes □ No	Issue(s) identified:	□ Yes ⊠ No	Issue(s) unresolved:	□ Yes □ No	Not applicable	
Comments							
TMC stated th	nat nearshore	works (e.g. in	stallation of tl	he east outfall) v	will be complete	ed prior to March 15 th	
and acknowle	dged this spe	ecific condition.					
Action Items							
None.							
Monitoring	· · · ·	<u> </u>		1 · 4 ·	<u> </u>		
3.1 A qualified environmental professional must be on-site during the carrying on of in-water works, undertakings and activities, and shall monitor the works, undertakings or activities on a systematic and on-going basis to ensure that standards and avoidance measures to avoid impacts to fish and fish habitat are effective, and that unauthorized impacts to fish and fish habitat are avoided.							
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box	
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	□ No		
Comments	Comments						
The Lead Environmental Inspector spoke throughout the meeting about their experiences at the WMT during construction since the last compliance verification site visit on January 26 th . Qualified environmental professionals are conducting monitoring of construction activities at the WMT and erosion and sediment control measures were monitored and maintained during the period of work stoppage.							
Action Items				-------------	<u></u>		
None.							
Marine Man	nmal Obser	vations					
2.2.7 In-water construction activities must cease if any marine mammal is observed adjacent to or within the project area such that there is risk of direct physical harm to the marine mammal. Construction activities may only resume once the marine mammal has been confirmed to have left the immediate area or has not been sighted for 30 minutes.							
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box	
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🖾 No	unresolved:	🗆 No		
Comments							
TMC noted that harbour seals were observed within and around the 150 m exclusion zone prior to commencement of impact pile driving. This condition was adhered to.							
Action Items							
None.							
The application	Structures	and Decomm	nissioning of	of Existing Sti	ructures	ured around the work area	
to collect driftin	g debris durine	g demolition of th	ne existing utili	ty dock (page 3.1).	area arouna the work afea	
Discussed:		Issue(s)	□ Yes	Issue(s)		Not applicable 🖂	



	⊠ No	identified:	□ No	unresolved:	□ No		
2.2.5 Tempora	ry structures ir	stalled below the	high-water m	ark shall be deco	mmissioned and	removed when they are	
no longer being	g used for con	struction purpose	<u>s.</u>				
Discussed/	∐ Yes	Issue(s)	∐ Yes	Issue(s)	∐ Yes	Not applicable 🛛	
observed:	⊠ No	identified:	□ No	unresolved:	□ No		
Comments			· · · ·				
No structures	are currently	being decomm	lissioned.				
Action Items							
None.	•						
Pump Intak	e Screening	3	·			a Cara a d'a a b'a dha	
Addendum, Fis	akes of any pu sheries and Oc	imps snall be des eans Canada's <i>F</i>	Freshwater Int	eened in accorda ake End-of-Pipe F	ince with specific	cations outlined in the delines (Fisheries and	
Oceans Canad	a 1995), and I	isheries and Oce	eans Canada'	s Guidelines for N	Ainimizing Entrai	inment and Impingement	
Discussed/	anisms at Mari	ne Intakes in Brit	\Box Voc	(Fisheries and Od	rans Canada 19	991). Not appliachta 🕅	
observed.		identified:		upresolved:			
Observed.	× NO	identined.		unresolved.			
Comments		ataliaa haya ha					
reported.	nown water i	liakes have bee		i during previous	s site inspection	ns. No issues were	
Action Items							
None.							
Fish Salvag	е						
2.2.3 Fish salva avoid and mini	age and reloca mize adverse i	ation shall be con- mpacts to fish.	ducted, as ap	propriate, prior to	the start of cons	truction activities so as to	
Discussed/	□ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable	
observed:	⊠ No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No		
Comments				L			
No further fish	n salvage has	s occurred since	e the last con	npliance verifica	tion activity cal	l on January 26, 2021.	
Action Items							
None.							
Integrity of Habitat Offsets							
4.7 The Proponent shall not carry on any works, undertakings or activities that will adversely disturb or impact the offsetting measures.							
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆	
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No		
Comments							
Offsetting measures have yet to be installed. TMC noted that offsetting construction cannot occur until the							
Action Itoms	ansion is cor						
None							

MITIGATION MEASURES SPECIFIC TO PILE DRIVING

Measures specified within the Westridge Marine Terminal Fisheries Act Authorization Conditions:

Underwater Sound Pressure Level Reduction						
2.2.8 A vibrator	2.2.8 A vibratory hammer will be used for pile driving where practical and feasible, and all in-water pile driving activities					
will be monitore	ed via hydroph	one to ensure ur	nderwater peal	<pre>k pressures do no</pre>	t result in advers	se impacts to fish.
Discussed/	🖾 Yes	ISSUE(S)		ISSUE(S)		Not applicable
observed:	□ No	identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	∐ No	
2.2.9.1 To avoid exclusion, etc.)	d death of fish must be imple	, mitigation meas	sures (e.g., bul	bble curtain arour	nd the full wetted	length of the pile, fish
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments						
Mitigation mea monitoring via acoustic deter	asures used hydrophone rent deplove	to deter fish fro s, pre-dive insp d)	m the area p pection of but	rior to pile drivin bble curtain, flow	g were describ / monitoring for	ed (e.g., noise ⁻ hoses, and fish
Action Items		<u> </u>				
None.						
Underwater	Sound Pre	ssure Level I	Monitoring			
2.2.9.2 Monitor being driven to finfish.	ing via underw verify that unc	vater noise recor lerwater sounds	dings must be do not exceed	conducted contin the 30 kPa (209.	uously and withi 5 dB re: 1 µPa) t	n 10 meters of the pile threshold for injury to
Discussed/	🛛 Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🖾 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
2.2.9.3. Outside sound threshold levels exceed the are to cease im	e of the least r d of 22.5 kPa his threshold, mediately and	isk window for Β (207 dB re: 1 μΡ or a fish kill is ob I mitigation meth	urrard Inlet (Au a) will be adhe served despite ods are to be r	igust 16 – Februa red to, and monito mitigation meas reviewed and moo	ary 28), a more c ored, to prevent ures being in pla dified in consulta	onservative underwater injury to finfish. If sound ce, pile driving activities tion with DFO.
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	□ No	
2.2.9.4 If underwater noise recordings indicate that sound levels are likely to exceed the applicable threshold defined in conditions 2.2.9.2 or 2.2.9.3, the Proponent will take appropriate action with the goal of preventing the exceedance from occurring. These actions may include adjusting the force of the hammer, adjusting the mitigation measures already in place to increase their effectiveness, or implementing additional mitigation measures.						
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	🗆 Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🖾 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
2.2.9.5 Upon commencement of pile driving, or recommencement after a delay of 30 minutes or more, pile installation shall ramp-up by starting with less frequent impact strikes of lower force. This ramp-up period is designed to enable any fish that may be in the area time to leave the area prior to the generation of peak pressure and noise levels for pile installation.						
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments						
Impact pile driving recommenced on week of February 8, 2021, following the work stoppage. TMC described mitigations measures employed during impact pile driving. The highest noise level recorded was approximately 202 dB re: 1µPa.						



Action Items						
None.	None.					
Marine Mam	mal Monito	oring				
2.2.9.6 Prior to monitoring mus for harbor seals	commenceme t be conducte s, which will ha	ent of pile driving d to determine if ave an exclusion	, or recommen marine mamm zone of 150 m	cement after a de nals are present w).	elay of 30 minute /ithin an exclusio	s or more, visual on zone of 1 km (except
Discussed/ observed:	⊠ Yes □ No	lssue(s) identified:	□ Yes ⊠ No	lssue(s) unresolved:	□ Yes □ No	Not applicable \Box
2.2.9.7 Work m zones for 30 mi	ay only comm inutes.	ence if marine m	ammals and h	arbour seals are	not observed in	their respective exclusion
Discussed/ observed:	⊠ Yes □ No	lssue(s) identified:	□ Yes ⊠ No	lssue(s) unresolved:	□ Yes □ No	Not applicable \Box
2.2.9.8 Exclusion zones must be monitored continuously during impact pile driving. If a marine mammal or marine mammals are observed within their respective exclusion zone, pile driving activities must cease until all marine mammals leave their respective exclusion zone or they have not been sighted for 30 minutes within their respective exclusion zone.						
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
2.2.9.9 If underwater noise recordings reveal that the threshold of 160 dB is exceeded at the 1 km exclusion zone boundary, the exclusion zone radius must be widened to a new outer limit, where sound recordings demonstrate that the 160 dB threshold is not exceeded. Conditions 2.2.9.6 to 2.2.9.8 will need to be complied with within this new exclusion zone.						
Discussed/ observed:	⊠ Yes □ No	Issue(s) identified:	□ Yes ⊠ No	lssue(s) unresolved:	□ Yes □ No	Not applicable
2.2.9.10 Pile driving may only be carried out during daylight hours to enable effective visual monitoring of marine mammal exclusion zones.						
Discussed/ observed:	⊠ Yes □ No	lssue(s) identified:	□ Yes ⊠ No	lssue(s) unresolved:	□ Yes □ No	Not applicable
Comments						
Prior and during impact pile driving, and only when needed, TMC is using four seal acoustic deterrents within the 150 m seal-specific exclusion zone as a mitigation measure to avoid adverse impacts (e.g., auditory injury) to 'fish' (which includes marine mammals such as seal) (Condition 2.2.8 of the <i>Fisheries Act</i> Authorization). Since completing the Seal Deterrent Sound Source Characterization Study Report produced by JASCO Applied Sciences, TMC is now monitoring a larger marine mammal exclusion zone (1,700 m radius) prior to and during the deployment of 4 seal acoustic deterrent devices. Only harbour seals have been observed since the previous CVA on January 26, 2021.						
Action Items						
none.						

Measures specified within the Westridge Marine Terminal Environmental Protection Plan:

Fish Salvage

35. Immediately following the installation of each sheet pile cell, and prior to excavation and infilling of that cell, conduct a salvage of commercial, recreational and Aboriginal (CRA) fishery species via crab and fish trapping/netting and seines (where appropriate). Release captured CRA fishery species in a suitable habitat at least 500 m away from marine construction activities.



Discussed/	□ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🖂	
observed:	🖾 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No		
Comments							
No further fish	n salvage has	s occurred sinc	e the last con	npliance verifica	tion activity cal	l on January 26, 2021.	
Action Items							
None.							
Turbidity M	onitoring						
43. Should visu	al monitoring	during in-water p	oile installation	indicate concern	regarding turbidi	ity levels, the	
Environmental	Inspector will	arrange for in sit	u sampling of t	urbidity (nephelo	metric turbidity u	nits). Should turbidity	
levels exceed s	specified thres	holds, pile driving	g will temporai	ily be halted.			
Discussed/	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆	
observed:	🛛 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No		
Comments							
No water quality issues were reported during in-water pile installation.							
Action Items							
None.							

MITIGATION MEASURES SPECIFIC TO FORESHORE CONSTRUCTION

Riparian Planting and Material Handling							
Westridge M	larine Termi	nal Fisheries	Act Authoriz	ation Conditio	ns		
2.2.4 Disturbed	l riparian areas	s shall be replan	ted as appropr	iate, with native r	on-invasive spe	cies of vegetation.	
Discussed/	🗆 Yes	lssue(s)	🗆 Yes	lssue(s)	🗆 Yes	Not applicable 🖂	
observed:	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No		
Westridge M	larine Termi	nal Environm	ental Protec	tion Plan Com	mitments		
30. Unless othe	erwise approve	ed by DFO, retai	n all excavated	d [marine] materia	al and dispose at	a land-based facility in	
accordance wit	h applicable re	egulations.					
Discussed/	🗆 Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🛛	
observed:	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No		
Comments							
Not applicable.							
Action Items							
None.							

Water Quality Maintenance and Monitoring						
Westridge N	larine Termi	nal Fisheries	Act Authoriz	ation Conditio	ns	
2.2.1 Effective sediment and erosion control measures (e.g., a turbidity curtain, etc.) shall be implemented before starting construction and shall be maintained during construction activities, as appropriate, to avoid the deposit and dispersion of sediment into the marine environment.						
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🖾 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
2.2.10 A turbidity curtain must be used to isolate the work area during the excavation of riprap in order to contain marine sediment suspended in the water column and limit the extent of sediment dispersion. During severe weather						



conditions that	conditions that may reduce the effectiveness of, or impede the visual monitoring of, the turbidity curtain (e.g., > 70 km/h					
winds, or dens	e fog), works, u	undertakings or	activities that n	nay increase susp	pended sediment	t concentrations within the
turbidity curtain or adversely affect the integrity of the turbidity curtain, must be suspended.						
Discussed/	⊔ Yes	Issue(s)		issue(s)	⊔ Yes	Not applicable 🖂
observed:	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Westridge N	larine Termi	nal Environme	ental Protec	tion Plan Comi	nitments	
29. During in-w Management F	vater excavatio Plan during Rip rtain and modi	n or rip rap, con Rap Removal (<i>i</i>	duct water qua Appendix H of	lity monitoring (W this EPP). Condu	(QM) as per the ct WQM to asse	Water Quality ss the effectiveness of
Discussed/						Not applicable M
obcorved:		identified:		uprocolvod:		
observed.	⊠ No	identined.		unresolveu.		
Westridge N	larine Termi	nal Sediment	and Erosion	Control Plan	Commitments	
The in-water se	ediment curtair	n will remain inta	ct during Fores	shore construction	n activities to ens	sure sediment laden
water is not dis	scharged into E	Burrard inlet.				
Discussed/	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	🗆 Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
observed:	🗆 No	identified:	🖾 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments						
A turbidity cu	rtain remains	in place aroun	d the sheet-p	ile cells encomp	assing the fore	eshore.
The turbidity curtain also encompasses the foreshore where the east outfall is being installed in the high intertidal zone. There has been slight elevated turbidity within the curtain when there is a tidal change. Water quality tests were completed during times when works were closest to the water – no concerns were identified.						
Action Items	Action Items					
None.						

Additional comments or action items

• TMC to brainstorm ideas for other potential virtual viewing opportunities of construction works onsite while virtual CVAs are in place of in-person site visits.