Trans Mountain Expansion Project – Westridge Marine Terminal Monitoring

In light of the current COVID-19 pandemic, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) and Musqueam Indian Band's (Musqueam's) Indigenous Advisory and Monitoring Committee Indigenous Monitor (IAMC IM) are not conducting joint in-person monthly site inspections at the Westridge Marine Terminal (WMT), in Burrard Inlet, BC, in June 2020. Instead, DFO and several representatives from the IAMC (including the Musqueam IAMC IM) are having two conference-call meetings per month with representatives from Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC (Trans Mountain), the Project Indigenous Monitor (Project IM) from Kwikwetlem First Nation (KFN), and Kiewit Ledcor Trans Mountain Partnership (KLTP). This monitoring report provides a summary of the meeting on June 10, 2020. The report includes a description of current in-water and nearshore construction at the WMT, any issues Trans Mountain reported during the meeting regarding measures implemented to avoid or mitigate impacts on fish and fish habitat, and how these issues have been or will be resolved.

Date	June 10, 2020		Time of Call (Start):	1:00 pm	Time of Call End:	2:30 pm			
Format	Web-based co and/or videos	Web-based conference call with Trans Mountain presenting photographs, documents and/or videos relevant to the expansion of the Westridge Marine Terminal.							
DFO participants	DFO - TMX Re W.B. (A/ Team	DFO - TMX Review and Engagement Team, Fish and Fish Habitat Protection Program: W.B. (A/ Team Lead), K.J. (Biologist), and E.S.(Biologist).							
IAMC participants	Musqueam Inc Technician). IAMC – Monito Fraser River, f Nations).	Musqueam Indian Band: J.H. (IAMC IM), and R.K. (Environmental Stewardship Technician). IAMC – Monitoring Subcommittee: C.T. (IAMC representative – Burrard Inlet and Lower Fraser River, from Tsleil-Waututh Nation), and R.C. (IAMC representative – Alberta First Nations)							
Other participants	Trans Mountai Regulatory Ad Inspector). Kwikwetlem Fi KLTP: A.A. (Er	Trans Mountain: K.M. (Regulatory Lead), T.A (Construction Manager), L.B. (Field Regulatory Advisor), S.D. (Lead Environmental Inspector), and B.J. (Chief Environmental Inspector). Kwikwetlem First Nation (KFN): M.J. (Project IM)							
Contractor/equip at the time of the	ment on site call	Role							
DB Bremerton		Moored to the east of WMT beside junction platforms 1 and 2. Crews are working to set concrete girders into place between the two platforms via crane.							
Nearshore Barge		of foreshore cells 1 and 2. Sheet-piles will be driven by a vibratory hammer, and underwater noise levels will be monitored during pile driving. Water quality monitoring for turbidity was conducted in waters outside of the turbidity curtain and no exceedances of the <i>Canadian Council of</i> <i>Ministers of Environment [CCME] Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for</i> <i>the Protection of Aquatic Life</i> were recorded.							
Offshore barges (General)	e.g., DB	Trestle piles f via impact pile the marine m continue to be	or the junction p e driving. Both b ammal exclusion e conducted for	latform and tre arge-based ma n zones and un offshore impac	stle span are bei arine mammal mo derwater noise r t pile driving.	ng installed onitoring of nonitoring			



Access platforms have been constructed on the top of breasting and mooring dolphins, and dolphin jackets are being welded into place.

IAMC Indigenous Monitor/IAMC Observations and Comments

R.C. (IAMC representative for the Alberta First Nations) requested information on containments in place for the grout pits in the foreshore cells. TM explained that there is no membrane in place, but the sheet-piles themselves act as a barrier to the ocean and there is also a berm in place inside the cells.

C.T. (IAMC representative for Burrard Inlet and Lower Fraser River) asked for information on the type of contamination that TM are looking for while they remove obsolete piles from the foreshore, and where the piles are taken for disposal. TM explained that the piles are being monitored for hydrocarbon (oil or fuel) contamination and that the piles are disposed of at an approved site in the lower mainland.



Time	Summary of inspection discussions						
1:00 – 1:05 pm	Introductions						
1:05 – 1:07 pm	Review agenda						
	 K.M. gave an overview of the agenda for the meeting: WMT site overview and status of construction Update on construction activities and mitigation measures at WMT since the May 27th meeting, with photos and video to be provided via the WebEx presentation. Time for questions. Discussion of the time the acoustic deterrent is deployed for was added to the agenda at WB's request. 						
1:07 – 1:15 pm	Delay due to technical issues.						
1:15–1:30 pm	Construction Update						
	S.D. provided an overview of the site layout at WMT and the works that have occurred since the May 27th compliance verification conference call. S.D. scrolled through photographs of construction works and described the mitigation measures.						
	Site Overview						
	 S.D. showed a labelled aerial photo of the WMT construction site, which showed the numbered foreshore cells and arcs. S.D. explained that cells 6-10 have been completed and no works have been carried out on these cells since the previous compliance call. S.D. stated that all works currently being carried out in the cells are outside of the least risk window (1st March -15th August), and are therefore being completed in the dry, at low tide. The extended LRW is August 16 to March 15. S.D. explained that sheet-piles are being threaded into a template to construct cell 1. These sheet-piles will then be driven by a vibratory driver and, if needed, by an impact pile driver. 						
	 S.D said that ground improvements are being made along the foreshore and that excavation for the derailment wall is also being carried out. 						
	• S.D. snowed another aerial photo of WMT which was taken more recently, which showed the foreshore cells and arcs. Templates for cells 1 and 2, the completed cells and the new turbidity curtain were visible.						
	 S.D. mentioned that part of cell 2 is submerged at high tide, and that works are only taking place in the dry, at low tide. 						
	 T.A. pointed out pits being used to contain grout spoil material in two of the cells. S.D. showed a schematic overview of the WMT site and a photo showing offshore works. S.D. then gave an overview of the offshore works that have been completed since the last compliance verification call and works that are currently underway: Prefabricated concrete girders have been installed at the loading 						
	platform,						



	 Dolphin jackets are being welded onto breasting dolphins and mooring dolphins (mooring dolphins 4 and 6)
	$_{\circ}$ Mooring dolphin 5 is being installed today
	 Concrete will be poured onto rebar at trestle span 3 and
	\circ All piles for the junction platform have now been driven and cut.
1:30pm-1:50pm	Foreshore – sheet-pile cells and arcs
	• S.D. showed a photograph of the foreshore sheet-pile cells, with cells 6-10
	 completed. Two cells (Arcs 8A and 9A) are currently being used to cure grout spoils, once
	the grout has cured it is excavated and transported offsite for disposal.
	W.B. asked what the white structure to the west of the cells was.
	• S.D. explained that this is a lock-block, which provides a barrier to gravel and equipment, at a point where the sheet-pile wall is lower.
	W.B asked what mitigation measures are in place to prevent sediment from the foreshore entering the inlet.
	• S.D. explained that there are berms, silt fences and ditches in place to prevent sediment or an on-land concrete spill from entering the ocean.
	R.C. asked whether the sheet-pile cells have a membrane to prevent material entering the ocean.
	• S.D. explained that there is no membrane, but the sheet-piles act as a barrier to the ocean and there is also a berm inside the cell.
	• S.D. mentioned that water quality monitoring is being carried out, to test for turbidity and changes in pH, which would result from grout being released into the ocean.
	• T.A. added that the new turbidity curtain covering the full foreshore is also in place to contain sediment.
	S.D. showed photos of sheet-pile cell 1, which showed the sheet-piles being threaded into the template .
	• S.D. explained that all works for the foreshore cells are being carried out in the dry, at low tide.
	• The remaining sheet-piles will be driven by a vibratory hammer and, if needed,
	an impact nammer. As these piles are onshore, underwater hoise monitoring will be carried out during impact hile driving of the first three hiles to ensure that
	underwater noise levels do not exceed the threshold set out in the <i>Fisheries Act</i>
	Authorization.
	• S.D. showed a photo of the new turbidity curtain, containing turbidity caused by wind.
	S.D. showed a photo of the crew installing jet grout columns underground at the eastern foreshore
	 S.D. explained that grout spoil is produced during this process and showed a photo of the spoil being managed with an excavator
	 S.D. explained that the spoil is removed by the excavator and a loader places the spoil in one of the two pits previously shown in the sheet-pile cells



	• S.D. showed a photo of one of the pits, and explained that the grout is left here to cure, and is then removed by excavator and taken offsite for disposal.
	S.D. showed a photo of the derailment wall excavation.
	 S.D. showed a photo of old, obsolete piles being removed from the foreshore area. S.D. explained that these piles are part of the old foreshore and are being removed, transferred to a barge and transported offsite for disposal. W.B. asked for clarification on where these piles were located. S.D. explained that these piles are leasted south of chect pile cells % 0.
	 S.D. explained that these piles are located south of sheet-pile cells o-9. W.B. asked whether there was any risk of material entering the sea while the piles are being transferred to the barge for disposal
	 S.D. explained that the piles are checked for contamination and are pressure washed before being moved to the barge, so there is little risk of material entering the ocean.
	 C.T. asked what kind of contamination the crews would be looking for, and where the piles go for disposal.
	 S.D. explained that they would be looking for hydrocarbon contamination resulting from the previous use of the site as a fuel/oil loading facility. Darker soil, sheen and smell are used to determine whether there is any contamination. S.D. explained that there are a number of approved sites which could be used for the dispersel of the miles and that there miles are taken to an emproved site.
4.50 0.40	the lower mainland, although S.D. was not certain of the name.
1.50- 2. ropm	S.D. showed a photo of the bubble curtain out of the water and pointed out the seven bubble curtain rings through which air is pumped. S.D. explained that air hoses connect to each of the seven rings.
	W.B. asked if S.D. could explain how divers verify that the bubble curtain is working properly before impact pile driving commences.
	• S.D. explained that following their revised Standard Operating Procedures divers check the bubble curtain is working correctly before impact pile driving starts. A diver will inspect each ring individually, checking that each ring is producing bubbles and that the final ring is on the seafloor.
	W.B. asked about how long the acoustic deterrents are used during the ramp up procedure. The time recommended by the manufacturer is at least 10 minutes, but TM have been using the acoustic deterrents for 30-60 minutes. W.B. asked why the deterrent was being used for longer than the recommended time, because it would be preferable not to deter fish from the area for longer than necessary.
	• S.D. and T.A. explained that the acoustic deterrent system had been used for longer than the minimum recommended time most often because of a marine mammal sighting delaying the start of impact pile driving. S.D. agreed that the deterrent should not be used for longer than necessary and that efforts will be made to find an appropriate balance for the time the deterrent is used for.



W.B asked whether the manufacturer had provided a recommended time for using the acoustic deterrent.
• S.D. explained that the manufacturer had only provided the minimum time of 10 minutes. S.D. stated they will try to target running the acoustic deterrents for 30 mins during ramp up.
W.B asked what the range of the acoustic deterrent is based on the source level of the noise relative to the hearing sensitivities of fish, and whether this had been studied by the manufacturer.
 S.D. said that the range given by the manufacturer was 150-200 ft. which has been used to guide how far the device can be placed away from the pile being driven. This range is based on the source noise levels and fish hearing, but S.D. did not think this had been studied by the manufacturer. B.J. added that the effectiveness range will depend on the conditions of the water and that they'd request information from the manufacturer on whether a study had been carried out.
S.D. showed a photo of the compressors that feed air into the bubble curtain. These were placed on top of Plant Nappies, which are used to contain any hydrocarbons that may leak or spill from the compressors.
S.D. showed a photo of the air manifold which directs air from the compressor to the seven hoses that connect to the bubble curtain rings. There are pressure gauges on each of the seven hoses, which are monitored while the bubble curtain is running, to ensure that air is being delivered to each ring.
S.D. showed a photo of the anchor barge from which the two acoustic deterrent devices are deployed from.
Impact pile driving
S.D. showed two photos of impact pile driving at junction platform 1. Wash from the bubble curtain was visible, as was the atmospheric noise shroud.
S.D. showed a video of impact pile driving at trestle span 6. S.D. stated that underwater noise monitoring had been conducted during impact pile driving activities and that all levels had been below the threshold permitted under the <i>Fisheries Act</i> Authorization.
W.B. asked whether the secondary bubble curtain had been used during impact pile driving.
• S.D. explained that the piles driven over the last two weeks are smaller piles, and the secondary bubble curtain is not needed as underwater noise levels are lower (197dB was the highest recorded) than the levels associated with the larger piles. The piles used for the mooring dolphins will be larger and so the secondary bubble curtain will be used during impact pile driving of these piles.



	S.D. showed a photo of a sea lion lying on the perimeter safety boom. S.D. explained that marine mammal monitoring is being carried out during impact pile driving and that pile driving had been delayed and stopped for the sea lion shown, as well as some barbour seals. The 30 minute re-sighting window had been observed before pile driving
	was re-started.
	 W.B. asked whether there had been any fish observations made. S.D. said that there had been some juvenile salmon sightings but there were not many.
2:10 – 2:20 pm	Offshore works – superstructure
	S.D. showed a photo of concrete girders being set in place by cranes at loading platforms 1 and 2.
	• The concrete girders have been prefabricated onshore, and have now all been set in place. Concrete will be poured over the girders in the coming weeks.
	S.D. showed an aerial photo of the offshore works, showing the concrete girders in place on the loading platforms and jackets being welded onto breasting dolphins 7 and 8.
	S.D. showed a photo of a dolphin jacket being set on mooring dolphin 6.
	S.D. showed two photos of the new turbidity curtain. Turbidity generated by wind waves was seen being contained by the curtain.
	W.B. mentioned that the design of the turbidity curtain was requested to be shared with the meeting participants in the previous meeting.
	• K.M. confirmed that this had already been shared on the Firmex site following the last meeting.
2:20 – 2:30 pm	S.D. asked whether there were any questions.
	E.S. asked whether there had been any concrete pours over the last 2 weeks and whether all the same concrete mitigations were in place as discussed in the previous compliance meeting.
	 S.D. said there had been one concrete pour, and that yes all the same mitigations were in place (i.e. spill trays, spill kits, concrete containments).
	K.M. asked whether a move from Webex to Miscrosoft Teams would be welcome. The majority of participants were in favour of using Microsoft Teams for the next meeting.
2:30 pm	Call ended



GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS MITIGATION MEASURES

Measures specified within the Westridge Marine Terminal Fisheries Act Authorization Conditions:

Schedule					
2.2.6 All nearshore in-water	Project construct	ion activities (v	vithin a 50-m hori	zontal distance s	eaward of the higher high
water large tide level) at the	Westridge Marine	e Terminal sha	Ill only be carried	out during a wor	k timing window from
					Nat appliaabla 🗆
	identified:		upresolved		
	luentineu.	⊠ No	uniesolveu.		
Comments					
TM acknowledged that the	e timing window	has closed a	and that in-wate	r works are only	y being
conducted offshore (i.e., b	eyond 50 m of	the higher high	gh water large ti	de). Works on t	the foreshore cells are
being completed at low tid	e in the dry.				
Action items					
None					
Monitoring					
3.1 A qualified environmenta	l professional mu	ust be on-site o	luring the carrying	g on of in-water v	vorks, undertakings and
activities, and shall monitor t	he works, undert	akings or activ	ities on a systema	atic and on-going	basis to ensure that
standards and avoidance me	easures to avoid	impacts to fish	and fish habitat a	are effective, and	that unauthorized
					Nat appliaabla 🗆
	identified:		upresolved:		
	luentineu.	⊠ No	uniesolveu.	□ No	
Comments					
The Lead Environmental I	nspector spoke	throughout t	he meeting abo	ut their experie	nces over the last
month at the WMT during	construction. Q	ualified envir	onmental profes	ssionals are con	nducting monitoring of
construction activities at tr	ne WWI.				
Action Items					
None					
Marine Mammal Obse	vations				
2.2.7 In-water construction a	ctivities must cea	ase if any mari	ne mammal is obs	served adjacent	to or within the project
area such that there is risk o	f direct physical ł	narm to the ma	arine mammal. Co	onstruction activit	ies may only resume once
the marine mammal has bee	n confirmed to ha	ave left the imr	nediate area or h	as not been sigh	ted for 30 minutes.
Discussed: 🛛 Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box
🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments					
Marine mammal monitorin	g is being conc	lucted at WM	T. Marine mamr	nals have beer	n observed prior to the
start of impact pile driving	and during pile	driving. TM s	stated that works	s were stopped	immediately when the
mammals were sighted ar	nd were not rest	tarted until the	e 30 minute re-s	ighting window	had passed.
Action Items					
None					
_					
Temporary Structures and Decommissioning of Existing Structures					
I ne application for a <i>Fisherie</i>	es Act authorizati	on states that	a iloating debris b	oom will be seci	ured around the work area
					Not appliachta M
Discussed. 🗆 Yes	15500(5)		19906(2)		



None						
Action Items						
Offsetting me	asures have	yet to be install	ed			
Comments						
Discussed:	□ Yes ⊠ No	Issue(s) identified:	□ Yes ⊠ No	lssue(s) unresolved:	□ Yes □ No	Not applicable ⊠
4.7 The Propor offsetting meas	nent shall not o sures.	arry on any work	ks, undertaking	gs or activities that	at will adversely o	disturb or impact the
Integrity of	Habitat Off	sets				
None						
Action Items						
No fish salva	ge has taken	place at WMT	over the past	two weeks and	there is none p	planned, because pools
Comments						
Discussed:	⊔ res ⊠ No	identified:	⊔ res □ No	unresolved:	⊔ res □ No	
2.2.3 Fish salva avoid and mini	age and reloca mize adverse i	tion shall be con mpacts to fish.	ducted, as ap	propriate, prior to	the start of cons	truction activities so as to
Fish Salvag	е					
None						
reported.						
Screens for k	nown water i	ntakes have be	en discussed	during previous	s site inspectio	ns. No issues were
Commercials	⊠ No	identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	□ No	
Discussed:	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
2.2.2 Water int Addendum, Fis Oceans Canad of Aquatic Orga	akes of any pu sheries and Oc a 1995), and F a <i>nisms at Mari</i>	imps shall be des eeans Canada's <i>F</i> Fisheries and Oc ine Intakes in Brit	signed and scr Freshwater Inte eans Canada's tish Columbia	reened in accorda ake End-of-Pipe I s Guidelines for N (Fisheries and Od	ance with specific Fish Screen Guic Ainimizing Entrai ceans Canada 19	cations outlined in the <i>delines</i> (Fisheries and <i>nment and Impingement</i> 991).
Pump Intak	e Screening)				
None						
Action Items						
The utility doo	k has been r	emoved and no	structures a	ire currently bei	ng decommissi	oned.
Comments						
Discussed.	∐ Yes ⊠ No	identified:		unresolved:	∐ Yes □ No	
no longer being	g used for cons	struction purpose	es.			
2.2.5 Tempora	ry structures in	istalled below the	e high-water m	ark shall be deco	ommissioned and	I removed when they are
	🛛 No	identified:		unresolved:	□ No	

MITIGATION MEASURES SPECIFIC TO PILE DRIVING

Measures specified within the Westridge Marine Terminal Fisheries Act Authorization Conditions:

Underwater	Sound Pre	ssure Level	Reduction			
2.2.8 A vibrato	ry hammer wil	be used for pile	driving where	practical and fea	sible, and all in-v	vater pile driving activities
will be monitor	ed via hydroph	one to ensure u	nderwater pea	k pressures do no	ot result in adver	se impacts to fish.
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	Issue(s)	🗆 Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box
	□ No	identified:	🖾 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
2.2.9.1 To avo exclusion, etc.	id death of fish) must be impl	, mitigation mea emented.	sures (e.g., bu	bble curtain arou	nd the full wetted	l length of the pile, fish
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
	□ No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	□ No	
Comments				I		
Trans Mounta Trans Mounta levels during is checked by TM demonstr	ain showed th ain will be tes impact pile d divers and b ated that unc	ting the use of the pr ting the use of riving in the cor by monitoring pl lerwater noise l	imary bubble a secondary ning weeks.T ressure gaug evels are bei	curtain during i bubble curtain t M gave a detail es to ensure the	nstallation of pi o further reduce ed account of h bubble curtair uring both vibra	les by impact hammer. e underwater noise now the bubble curtain n is working correctly.
driving activit	ies and that u	inderwater nois	e thresholds	are not being e	xceeded.	
Action Items	;					
None.						
Underwater	Sound Pre	ssure Level	Monitoring			
2.2.9.2 Monitor being driven to finfish.	ring via underv verify that uno	vater noise recor derwater sounds	dings must be do not exceed	conducted contir I the 30 kPa (209	uously and withi .5 dB re: 1 μPa)	n 10 meters of the pile threshold for injury to
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
	□ No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	□ No	
2.2.9.3. Outside of the least risk window for Burrard Inlet (August 16 – February 28), a more conservative underwater sound threshold of 22.5 kPa (207 dB re: 1 μ Pa) will be adhered to, and monitored, to prevent injury to finfish. If sound levels exceed this threshold, or a fish kill is observed despite mitigation measures being in place, pile driving activities are to cease immediately and mitigation methods are to be reviewed and modified in consultation with DFO.						
Discussed:	🛛 Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box
	□ No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
2.2.9.4 If under conditions 2.2. occurring. The place to increa	rwater noise re 9.2 or 2.2.9.3, se actions may se their effecti	ecordings indicat the Proponent w γ include adjustir veness, or imple	e that sound le vill take approp ng the force of menting addition	evels are likely to riate action with t the hammer, adju onal mitigation m	exceed the appli he goal of preve isting the mitigat easures.	cable threshold defined in nting the exceedance from ion measures already in
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
	□ No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	□ No	
2.2.9.5 Upon commencement of pile driving, or recommencement after a delay of 30 minutes or more, pile installation shall ramp-up by starting with less frequent impact strikes of lower force. This ramp-up period is designed to enable any fish that may be in the area time to leave the area prior to the generation of peak pressure and noise levels for pile installation.						



Discussed:	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
	□ No	identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	□ No	
Comments						
TM demonstrat	ted that they a below the thre	re monitoring un eshold specified	derwater noise in the authoriz	e during vibratory ation.	and impact pile o	driving and that levels
TM discussed	the suite of mit	igation measure	s being implen	nented to help rec	duce effects to m	arine fish during offshore
Action Items	ing (e.g., acou	istic deterrent sy	stem, bubble o	curtain).		
None						
Marine Mam	nmal Monito	oring				
2.2.9.6 Prior to monitoring mus for harbor seals	commenceme t be conducte s, which will ha	ent of pile driving d to determine if ave an exclusion	, or recommer marine mamn zone of 150 m	ncement after a de nals are present v n).	elay of 30 minute vithin an exclusio	es or more, visual on zone of 1 km (except
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	Issue(s) identified:	□ Yes	Issue(s)		Not applicable 🗆
2 2 9 7 Work m		ence if marine m	OVI 🖂	arhor seals are n	U NO	peir respective exclusion
zones for 30 m	inutes.					
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box
	🗆 No	identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	□ No	
2.2.9.8 Exclusion mammals are of mammals leave exclusion zone	on zones must observed within e their respect	t be monitored control of their respective ive exclusion zor	exclusion zor exclusion zor e or they have	ring impact pile d ne, pile driving ac e not been sighted	riving. If a marine tivities must ceas d for 30 minutes	e mammal or marine se until all marine within their respective
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable
	□ No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	□ No	
2.2.9.9 If underwater noise recordings reveal that the threshold of 160 dB is exceeded at the 1 km exclusion zone boundary, the exclusion zone radius must be widened to a new outer limit, where sound recordings demonstrate that the 160 dB threshold is not exceeded. Conditions 2.2.9.6 to 2.2.9.8 will need to be complied with within this new exclusion zone.						
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box
	□ No	Identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	□ No	
2.2.9.10 Pile di mammal exclus	iving may only sion zones.	/ be carried out o	luring daylight	hours to enable e	effective visual m	onitoring of marine
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
	□ No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	□ No	
Comments						
TM are carrying out marine mammal monitoring. When mammals have been observed within the exclusion zone, works have been stopped immediately. TM have not commenced work until the 30 minute window has passed and during which no further mammal sightings had occurred.						
Action Items						
None						

Measures specified within the Westridge Marine Terminal Environmental Protection Plan:



Fish Salvag	je					
35. Immediate	ly following the	installation of e	ach sheet pile	cell, and prior to e	excavation and ir	filling of that cell, conduct
a salvage of co	ommercial, rec	reational and Ab	original (CRA)	fishery species v	ia crab and fish t	rapping/netting and
seines (where	appropriate). F	Release capture	d CRA fishery	species in a suita	ble habitat at lea	st 500 m away from
marine constru	ction activities			T		1
Discussed:	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🖂
	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	□ No	
Comments						
No fish salva	ge is currently	/ occurring at V	VMT.			
A						
Action Items						
None						
Turbidity M	onitoring					
43. Should visu	ual monitoring	during in-water	pile installation	indicate concern	regarding turbid	ity levels, the
Environmental	Inspector will a	arrange for in sit	u sampling of t	urbidity (nephelo	metric turbidity u	nits). Should turbidity
levels exceed	specified thres	holds, pile drivin	g will tempora	ily be halted.		
Discussed:	🛛 Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🛛
	🗆 No	identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	□ No	
Comments						
Turbidity curtains are in place and water quality monitoring has recorded no exceedance in water quality						
guidelines for turbidity outside of the turbidity curtain. TM have installed a new custom made turbidity curtain,						
which is more durable than the previous curtain, and is contoured to the seafloor.						
Action Items	;	•				
None						



MITIGATION MEASURES SPECIFIC TO FORESHORE CONSTRUCTION

Riparian Pla	Riparian Planting and Material Handling						
Westridge M	larine Termi	nal Fisheries	Act Authoriz	ation Conditio	ns		
2.2.4 Disturbed	l riparian areas	s shall be replan	ted as appropr	iate, with native r	on-invasive spe	cies of vegetation.	
Discussed:	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🖂	
	🛛 No	identified:	□ No	unresolved:	□ No		
Westridge M	larine Termi	nal Environm	ental Protect	tion Plan Com	mitments		
30. Unless othe accordance wit	erwise approve th applicable re	ed by DFO, retai egulations.	in all excavated	l [marine] materia	Il and dispose at	a land-based facility in	
Discussed:	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🖂	
	⊠ No	identified:	□ No	unresolved:	□ No		
Comments							
Not applicable.							
Action Items							
None							

Water Quality Maintenance and Monitoring						
Westridge Marine Terminal Fisheries Act Authorization Conditions						
2.2.1 Effective sediment and erosion control measures (e.g., a turbidity curtain, etc.) shall be implemented before						
starting construction and shall be maintained during construction activities, as appropriate, to avoid the deposit and						
dispersion of sediment into the marine environment.						
Discussed:	🛛 Yes	lssue(s)	🗆 Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
	□ No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	□ No	
2.2.10 A turbidity curtain must be used to isolate the work area during the excavation of riprap in order to contain						
marine sediment suspended in the water column and limit the extent of sediment dispersion. During severe weather						
conditions that may reduce the effectiveness of, or impede the visual monitoring of, the turbidity curtain (e.g., > 70 km/h						
winds, or dense tog), works, undertakings or activities that may increase suspended sediment concentrations within the						
turbidity curtain or adversely affect the integrity of the turbidity curtain, must be suspended.						
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🛛
	🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	□ No	
Westridge Marine Terminal Environmental Protection Plan Commitments						
29. During in-water excavation or rip rap, conduct water quality monitoring (WQM) as per the Water Quality						
Management Plan during Rip Rap Removal (Appendix H of this EPP). Conduct WQM to assess the effectiveness of						
the turbidity curtain and modify turbidity curtain deployment, if required.						
Discussed:	∐ Yes	Issue(s)	🗆 Yes	lssue(s)	🗆 Yes	Not applicable 🛛
	🖾 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Westridge Marine Terminal Sediment and Erosion Control Plan Commitments						
The in-water sediment curtain will remain intact during Foreshore construction activities to ensure sediment laden						
water is not discharged into Burrard inlet.						
Discussed:	🛛 Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable \Box
	□ No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	□ No	
Comments						



The new turbidity curtain was visible and shown to be effective in containing turbidity.

Action Items

None

Additional comments or action items None.