

# Trans Mountain Expansion Project – Westridge Marine Terminal Monitoring

In light of the current COVID-19 pandemic, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) and Musqueam Indian Band's (Musqueam's) Indigenous Advisory and Monitoring Committee Indigenous Monitor (IAMC IM) have not been conducting joint in-person monthly site inspections at the Westridge Marine Terminal (WMT), in Burrard Inlet, BC, since March 2020. Instead, DFO and several representatives from the IAMC (including the Musqueam IAMC IM) had two conference-call meetings per month with representatives from Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC (Trans Mountain), the Project Indigenous Monitor (Project IM) from Kwikwetlem First Nation (KFN), and Kiewit Ledcor Trans Mountain Partnership (KLTP). This monitoring report provides a summary of the meeting on July 9, 2020. The report includes a description of current in-water and nearshore construction at the WMT, any issues Trans Mountain reported during the meeting regarding measures implemented to avoid or mitigate impacts on fish and fish habitat, and how these issues have been or will be resolved. With the addition of new COVID-19 safety protocols in place, monthly in-person site visits are planned to resume.

Date	July 9, 2020		Time of Call (Start):	1:00 PM	Time of Call End:	2:15 PM				
Format	Web-based co and/or videos	Web-based conference call with Trans Mountain presenting photographs, documents and/or videos relevant to the expansion of the Westridge Marine Terminal								
DFO	DFO - TMX Re	DFO - TMX Review and Engagement Team, Fish and Fish Habitat Protection Program:								
	R.L. (A/ Senior	l Blologist), W.I		(Environment	ologisi) al Stewardshin T	echnician)				
narticinants	IAMC – Monite	and Subcomm	(IANIC INI), IX.K	C representativ	a Stewardship i e – Rurrard Inlet	and Lower				
participanto	Fraser River, f	rom Tsleil-Wau	ituth Nation)	oroprocontait	o Banara miot					
Other	Trans Mountai	n: K.M. (Regula	atory Lead), T.A	(Construction	Manager), S.D. (	Lead				
participants	Environmental	Inspector), J.S	6. (Environmenta	al Inspector) an	d B.J. (Chief Env	/ironmental				
	Inspector)									
	Kwikwetlem Fi	rst Nation (KFN	N): M.J. (Project	IM)						
Contractor/equip	ment on site	Role								
at the time of the	call									
DB Bremerton		works are ongoing within the piles (welding, installing rebar cages, and								
		concrete pour	rs) to prepare to	r the platform the	hat will be built a	pove.				
Nearshore Barge		Moored along the shoreline and working to construct the sheet-pile walls								
of foreshore Cells 1 and 2. Sheet-piles will be driven by a vibratory or						ratory or				
		nipact namm	er, and underwa	aler noise iever	s will be monitore	a abovo				
	high tide or when the tide is low)									
Offshore barges (e	e.a. DB	The template for Mooring Dolphin 3 was installed and vibratory pile								
General)	5	driving is ongoing.								
IAMC Indigenous	6 Monitor/IAMC	Observations	and Comment	S						
						·				

CT asked for clarification on the location of the derailment wall. SD showed the location on a slide. It currently extends from Cell 11 to the jet fuel bridge. Eventually it will extend to Cell 1.

CT confirmed with SD that pre-drilling for jet grouting is occurring in the foreshore and does not require an archeological monitor.



CT asked how far into the inlet are works occurring on Mooring Dolphin 3. SD confirmed works are ~200-250 m offshore.

CT asked for clarification on the LRBW in regards to offshore works. SD confirmed the extended least risk biological window (LRBW, August 16 – March 15) applies to works within 50 m of the shoreline. This helps mitigate potential harm to juvenile salmon migrating close to shore. All "offshore works" are seaward of this nearshore area.

CT asked what COVID-19 measures exist onsite at the WMT. TA explained that there are substantial protocols in place for workers and visitors. All regular personnel onsite complete a weekly form and provide it to their supervisor, identifying any questions or concerns. Temperature monitoring is required for everyone onsite at WMT and at the Kask yard. Social distancing is encouraged and masks are provided for anyone with tasks requiring people to be within two metres.

CT asked about the noise levels associated with vibratory pile driving offshore. SD explained that noise levels are variable for vibratory pile driving offshore and depend on the pile size. SD estimated that vibratory pile driving offshore produces sound pressure levels (SPL's) between 170-190 dB. No impact pile driving is currently occurring offshore. SPL's recorded during impact pile driving offshore generally range from 190-206.5 dB. Vibratory pile driving has a continuous noise signature compared to impact pile driving, which has a much stronger impulse signature.

JH does not have any follow-up questions regarding the HVAC and new treatment facility for the concrete waste water yet. Potential follow-up questions may be asked during subsequent meetings.



### Summary of inspection discussions (use initials of participants) Introductions

#### **Agenda Review**

In addition to the agenda review, KM addressed that no in-water impact pile driving has occurred since DFO requested a series of photos depicting the ramp up sequence. Photos will be taken of the ramp-up sequence once impact pile driving is conducted offshore, which may be delayed past the previous timeframe specified (likely now in early August).

WB added discussion of the resumption of in-person site visits at the WMT to the agenda.

#### **Construction Update**

SD provided an overview of the site layout at the WMT and described the construction works that have occurred since the June 26<sup>th</sup> compliance verification conference call. Most activities occurring over the past two weeks have been similar to the previous two weeks activities.

SD showed a labelled aerial photo of the WMT construction site, which displayed the numbered foreshore cells and arcs. SD provided an overview of the construction works in the foreshore:

- Cell 3: smoothing backfill material within the cell.
- Cell 2: vibratory pile driving, in the dry at low tide.
- Cell 1: impact pile driving, in the dry at low tide. TM experienced mechanical issues with the impact hammer, which are now fixed.
  - JASCO is monitoring underwater noise during impact pile driving with a hydrophone placed in the water for the first three sheet piles driven to ensure sound pressure levels (SPL's) are below the authorized threshold of 207 dB (outside of the least risk biological window). SPL's measured at 40 m from the pile are in the upper 150 dB range. A back calculation will be computed for equivalent SPL at R = 10 m. All pile driving for cells is currently being conducted during low tide, in dry conditions.
- The supersack retaining wall along the eastern shoreline (between Dock 59 and Cell 6) was completed on July 8<sup>th</sup>.
- Ongoing activities: deep soil mixing on the eastern foreshore, derailment wall excavation and French drain installation.
- New activities: installing formwork for concrete pours within excavated areas for the derailment wall.
  - CT asked for clarification on the location of the derailment wall. SD showed the location on a slide. It currently extends from Cell 11 to the jet fuel bridge. Eventually it will extend to Cell 1.

SD showed a schematic overview of the WMT site and a photo showing offshore works. SD provided an overview of the construction works:

- Mooring Dolphin 3: installed template and used vibratory pile driving for a set of 4 piles will be cutting and splicing piles soon.
- Loading Platform 1/2: setting concrete girders, installing concrete deck panels on top of girders and concrete pours to lock in girders on the east and west side of the trestle span.
- Mooring Dolphins 4 and 5: welding shear lugs to connect jackets to piles.



 Junction Platform 1: installing rebar cages and pouring concrete plugs on top of piles to build foundation for deck structure above.

SD described specific works and mitigation measures in the foreshore:

- Cell 2: vibratory sheet pile driving on the south side of the cell. Impacting on the south side of the cell may be necessary, but will not occur until the full cell is threaded once we are within the LRBW.
- Cell 1: sheet piles have been vibrated and impacted farther down.
  - WB asked if Cell 1 is entirely enclosed (i.e., can water enter?).
  - SD stated that some water is able to flow in and out of the cell through small gaps between the threaded sheet piles. Once all of the sheets are driven, the cell will be backfilled with gravel. No works are currently being conducted inside the cell.
- The supersack retaining wall has been installed along the shoreline between Cell 6 and Dock 59. The area behind the supersack wall has been backfilled with gravel. This work is located in the intertidal zone and was completed in dry conditions.
- SD showed a slide of the new access road connecting the shore and Cell 6 (located above the supersack wall).
- TA reviewed that Cell 5 only has a template set and will be one of the first cells to have sheet piling installed once we are within the LRBW (starting on August 16<sup>th</sup>). Cells 4 and 11 are the only cells that are yet to have templates installed.
- Deep soil mixing columns are being installed on the expanded eastern foreshore. An auger injects the grout into a pit that is contained by a berm. Grout is allowed to harden and excess cured spoils are hauled offsite for disposal by truck. Eventually cured spoils will be moved offsite via a conveyor belt and a barge.
  - WB asked if any part of the conveyor system will be over water, what will the structure of the system look like and what sort of mitigation measures will be in place?
  - SD confirmed that the grout/cement mixture will only be moved as a solid after it cures and hardens. It can then be broken up into moveable chunks.
  - TA explained the hardened grout will be moved similarly to how gravel is moved on a conveyor system. The conveyor belt will have a hopper system to control the amount of materials that go onto the belt. The speed of the belt controls how much material is allowed to accumulate and is the number one mitigation measure to avoid material entering the water.
  - SD/TA explained they will be able to provide more details once the equipment starts to arrive onsite, in about three weeks.
  - WB requested that any further information on mitigation measures be discussed with DFO during the next site visit.
- Pre-drilling for jet grout columns before the grout can be injected is ongoing.
  - CT asked where this drilling is occurring.
  - SD stated the drilling is occurring on cells in the foreshore that have been backfilled.
  - CT wanted to confirm that there is no need for archeological monitoring.
- Derailment wall concrete footings are being installed. A French drain, a perforated pipe with gravel around it, is being installed along the south side of the derailment wall. The drain will capture any surface run off and redirect it to the surface run off pipes to the east and west of the foreshore.

SD described specific works and mitigation measures in the offshore:



- Mooring Dolphin 4 and 5: welding shear lugs into the holes that connect the dolphin jackets to the piles.
- Mooring Dolphin 3: the template is being supported by smaller pin piles. Four holes in the template are for larger piles. Vibratory pile driving is ongoing.
- Breasting Dolphin 5 and 6: the piles are installed jackets have been delivered.
- Breasting Dolphin 7 and 8: fully installed.
- Junction platform 1: 8 piles have been installed. Rebar cages are installed inside the piles prior to
  pouring concrete pile plugs. Once concrete pours are finished then formwork will be completed for the
  future platform above.
- Loading platform 1/2: the first four trestles girders have been set between the loading platform and trestle span via a crane. Precast concrete deck panels will be installed on top of the concrete girders and finished with another concrete pour on top.
- WB asked if there have been any changes to mitigation measures or issues identified with offshore works.
  - SD stated things are going well concrete pours have gone according to plan, most offshore works are currently out of the water, and TM is maintaining clean work areas to ensure work materials do not enter the water.
- CT asked how far out are offshore works occurring.
  - SD confirmed works are ~200-250 m offshore.
- CT asked for clarification on the timing of the LRBW in regards to offshore works.
  - SD confirmed the extended LRBW (August 16 to March 15) applies to works within 50 m of the shoreline. This helps mitigate potential harm to juvenile salmon migrating close to shore. All "offshore works" are seaward of this nearshore area.

### Further Questions:

CT: what COVID-19 measures exist onsite?

TA: substantial protocols are in place for workers and visitors. All regular personnel onsite complete a weekly form and provide it to their supervisor, identifying any questions or concerns. Temperature monitoring is required for everyone onsite at the WMT and at the kask yard. Social distancing is encouraged and masks are provided for anyone with tasks requiring people to be within two metres.

WB: when will construction of habitat offsetting start?

SD: the foreshore cells need to be finished within the next LRBW so offsetting construction can begin in the following LRBW (August 2021). Barges and piles will be in the way and prevent reef construction while works on the foreshore cells are being completed.

WB: is partial construction possible during the upcoming LRBW?

SD/TA: it would be unlikely as works on the foreshore will take priority to complete within the next LRBW starting in August.

CT: what are the noise levels associated with vibratory pile driving offshore?

SD: noise levels are variable for vibratory pile driving offshore and depend on the pile size. SD estimated that vibratory pile driving offshore produces sound pressure levels (SPL's) between 170-190 dB. No impact pile driving is currently occurring offshore. SPL's recorded during impact pile driving offshore generally range from 190-206.5 dB. Vibratory pile driving has a continuous noise signature compared to impact pile driving, which has a much stronger impulse signature.



WB: will impact pile driving resume on week on July 20? TA: impact pile driving may be delayed until the first week of August due to equipment issues.

WB flagged that further clarification regarding the CO2 bubble can be discussed during the next site visit if J.H. has further questions.

Discussion of the next in-person site visit at the WMT:

- WB: DFO plans to resume in-person CVA meetings at WMT involving DFO and IAMC IM's towards the end of the month (potentially July 30). Remaining onshore to view works is ideal for social distancing purposes – seeking out vantage points to view offshore activities is sufficient.
- KM: most protocols for in-person site visits were sent via email in response to WB's request. Temperature checks will be required and TM would like to limit the number of people on-site. Each person will have to complete a form and have their temperature checked. Masks are required in shuttles.
- TA/KM: confirmed parking for three additional vehicles can be accommodated for the site visit.
- TA: confirmed that a large boardroom is available for the site specific safety orientation and premeeting.
- WB: Evan Henderson will be at site visit and will coordinate the timing of the visit with KM.
- TA: reviewed Personal Protective Equipment (PPE required) in greenfield and brownfield areas reflective vests, hard hats, and foamed-backed safety glasses are required, fire retardant coveralls are not necessary. Masks and PFD's are required on boats.
- WB: greenfield zonation also means facial hair restrictions are no longer in place? TA: yes.
- TA/KM: may be able to go out on the trestle span for a good vantage point. No Personal Floatation Device (PFD) is required to be on the trestle.

RL: asked TM to keep DFO updated when they have dates for impact pile driving to start. SD: confirmed TM will keep DFO updated.

KJ: were there any marine mammal or juvenile salmon sightings with the past two weeks? SD: no juvenile salmon were spotted, only just harbour seals. No work stoppages were required.

WB: is it an option to continue to upload photos to the Firmex website? KM: yes, TM has an ongoing subscription and Firmex is available for document sharing.



## **GENERAL AND MISCELLANEOUS MITIGATION MEASURES**

Measures specified within the Westridge Marine Terminal Fisheries Act Authorization Conditions:

Schedule					
2.2.6 All nearshore in-water F	Project construction	on activities (v	vithin a 50-m horiz	zontal distance s	eaward of the higher high
August 16 to March 15 each	vestridge Marine vear.	i erminai sna	II only be carried of	out during a wor	k timing window from
Discussed: 🛛 Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
🗆 No	identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments					
TM acknowledged that all	work completed	within the p	ast two weeks, s	since the last c	ompliance monitoring
call, is outside the timing w	indow and that	in-water wor	ks are only bein	ig conducted of	ffshore (i.e., beyond
50 m of the higher high wa	ter large tide). V	Works on the	e foreshore cells	are being com	pleted at low tide in the
Action Items					
None.					
Monitoring					
3.1 A qualified environmental	professional mus	st be on-site d	luring the carrying	on of in-water v	vorks, undertakings and
activities, and shall monitor the standards and avoidance me	ie works, underta asures to avoid ir	ikings or activ	ities on a systema	atic and on-going	basis to ensure that
impacts to fish and fish habita	at are avoided.		and non nabilat a		
Discussed: 🛛 Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments					
The Lead Environmental Ir	spector spoke	throughout t	he meeting abou	ut their experie	nces over the past two
construction activities at th	construction. Qu e WMT	ualified envir	onmental profes	sionals are cor	nducting monitoring of
Action Items					
None.					
Marine Mammal Obser	vations				
2.2.7 In-water construction ac	ctivities must ceas	se if any mariı	ne mammal is obs	erved adjacent	to or within the project
area such that there is risk of	direct physical ha	arm to the ma	rine mammal. Co	nstruction activit	ies may only resume once
Discussed: X Yes	Issue(s)		Issue(s)		Not applicable
	identified:		unresolved:		
Marine mammal monitoring	g is being condu	ucted at WM	T. Harbour seals	s were observe	d; however, pile driving
only occurred in the dry du	ring low tide. No	o work stopp	ages were repo	rted.	
Action Items					
None.					
<b>Temporary Structures</b>	and Decomm	issioning o	of Existing Str	uctures	
The application for a <i>Fisherie</i>	s Act authorization	on states that	a floating debris b	oom will be secu	ured around the work area
	Issue(s)		Issue(s)	<u>).</u>	Not applicable 🕅
	identified:		unresolved:		



2.2.5 Temporar no longer being	y structures in used for cons	stalled below the struction purpose	e high-water m es.	ark shall be deco	mmissioned and	I removed when they are
Discussed:	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🖂
	🖾 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments						
The utility doc	k has been r	emoved and no	o structures a	re currently beir	ng decommissi	oned.
Action Items						
None.						
Pump Intake	e Screening	3				
2.2.2 Water inta Addendum, Fisl Oceans Canada of Aquatic Orga	akes of any pu heries and Oc a 1995), and F <i>misms at Mari</i>	mps shall be de eans Canada's <i>I</i> Fisheries and Oc <i>ne Intakes in Bri</i>	signed and sci Freshwater Int eans Canada' tish Columbia	eened in accorda ake End-of-Pipe F s Guidelines for N (Fisheries and Oc	nce with specific Fish Screen Guid Ainimizing Entrai ceans Canada 19	cations outlined in the <i>delines</i> (Fisheries and <i>nment and Impingement</i> 991).
Discussed:	□ Yes ⊠ No	lssue(s) identified:	□ Yes □ No	lssue(s) unresolved:	□ Yes □ No	Not applicable $\boxtimes$
Comments				•		
Screens for kr reported.	nown water ir	ntakes have be	en discussed	l during previous	s site inspectio	ns. No issues were
Action Items						
None.						
Fish Salvage	e					
2.2.3 Fish salva avoid and minin	ige and reloca nize adverse i	ition shall be cor mpacts to fish.	nducted, as ap	propriate, prior to	the start of cons	truction activities so as to
Discussed:	□ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🛛
	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments						
No fish salvag	e has taken	place at the W	MT over the p	oast two weeks.		
Action Items						
None.						
Integrity of I	Habitat Offs	sets				
4.7 The Propon offsetting meas	ent shall not o ures.	arry on any wor	ks, undertakin	gs or activities tha	t will adversely o	disturb or impact the
Discussed:	□ Yes ⊠ No	lssue(s) identified:	□ Yes □ No	lssue(s) unresolved:	□ Yes □ No	Not applicable 🛛
Comments						
Offsetting mea	asures have	yet to be install	led.			
Action Items						
None.						

# MITIGATION MEASURES SPECIFIC TO PILE DRIVING

Measures specified within the Westridge Marine Terminal Fisheries Act Authorization Conditions:

Underwater Sound Pressure Level Reduction						
2.2.8 A vibratory hammer will be used for pile driving where practical and feasible, and all in-water pile driving activities						
Will be monitored via hydroph	one to ensure underwater p	beak pressures do not result in adver	se impacts to fish.			
	ISSUE(S) ∐ YES		Not applicable 🗆			
□ No	Identified: 🛛 No					
2.2.9.1 To avoid death of fish exclusion, etc.) must be imple	, mitigation measures (e.g., emented.	bubble curtain around the full wetter	l length of the pile, fish			
Discussed: 🛛 Yes	lssue(s) 🗌 Yes	Issue(s) 🗌 Yes	Not applicable 🗆			
□ No	identified: $\square$ No	unresolved: 🗌 No				
Comments						
Trans Mountain confirmed conditions; therefore, no m levels are being monitored tide and that underwater n	that vibratory pile driving onitoring was conducted via hydrophone during in pise thresholds are not b	is currently only occurring during via hydrophone. TM confirmed th npact pile driving activities occurr eing exceeded. Previously, DFO	low tide in dry nat underwater noise ing in the dry during low requested and has			
since received a memo fro	m TM outlining monitorin	g undertaken for impact pile drivi	ng conducted in the dry			
Action Items						
None.						
Underwater Sound Pre	ssure Level Monitorii	ng				
2.2.9.2 Monitoring via underw being driven to verify that und finfish.	ater noise recordings must lerwater sounds do not exc	be conducted continuously and with eed the 30 kPa (209.5 dB re: 1 μPa)	in 10 meters of the pile threshold for injury to			
Discussed: 🗆 Yes	Issue(s) 🗌 Yes	Issue(s) 🗆 Yes	Not applicable 🖂			
⊠ No	identified: 🛛 No	unresolved: 🗆 No				
2.2.9.3. Outside of the least risk window for Burrard Inlet (August 16 – February 28), a more conservative underwater sound threshold of 22.5 kPa (207 dB re: 1 $\mu$ Pa) will be adhered to, and monitored, to prevent injury to finfish. If sound levels exceed this threshold, or a fish kill is observed despite mitigation measures being in place, pile driving activities are to cease immediately and mitigation methods are to be reviewed and modified in consultation with DEO.						
Discussed: 🛛 Yes	Issue(s) 🗌 Yes	Issue(s) 🗌 Yes	Not applicable 🗆			
□ No	identified: 🖂 No	unresolved: 🗆 No				
2.2.9.4 If underwater noise reconditions 2.2.9.2 or 2.2.9.3, occurring. These actions may place to increase their effective	cordings indicate that soun the Proponent will take app r include adjusting the force reness, or implementing ad	d levels are likely to exceed the appl ropriate action with the goal of preve of the hammer, adjusting the mitigat ditional mitigation measures.	cable threshold defined in nting the exceedance from ion measures already in			
Discussed: 🛛 Yes	lssue(s) 🛛 🗌 Yes	Issue(s) 🛛 Yes	Not applicable 🖂			
⊠ No	identified: 🗌 No	unresolved: 🗌 No				
2.2.9.5 Upon commencemen shall ramp-up by starting with fish that may be in the area ti installation.	t of pile driving, or recomme less frequent impact strike me to leave the area prior to	encement after a delay of 30 minutes s of lower force. This ramp-up period o the generation of peak pressure an	or more, pile installation is designed to enable any d noise levels for pile			
Discussed: 🗆 Yes	Issue(s)	Issue(s)	Not applicable 🖂			
⊠ No						
Comments						



TM demonstrated that they are monitoring underwater noise during vibratory pile driving offshore and that levels have remained below the threshold specified in the authorization. No impact pile driving occurred offshore during the past two weeks.						
TM demonstra	ated that they	/ are monitorin	g underwater	noise during im	pact pile driving	g occurring in the dry at
Action Itoms	nat levels nav	ve remained be	elow the thres	noid specified in	i the authorizat	uon.
None						
Marina Mar	mal Manit	ring				
2296 Prior to		ent of pile driving		cement after a de	elay of 30 minute	es or more visual
monitoring mus	st be conducte	d to determine if	marine mamn	nals are present w	vithin an exclusio	on zone of 1 km (except
Discussed:	X Yes	Issue(s)		lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable
		identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:		
2.2.9.7 Work m	ay only comm	l lence if marine n	nammals and h	l narbor seals are n	ot observed in th	l neir respective exclusion
zones for 30 m	inutes.					1
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable $\Box$
	🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
2.2.9.8 Exclusion	on zones mus	t be monitored c	ontinuously du	ring impact pile di	riving. If a marine	e mammal or marine
mammals are o	bserved within	n their respective	e exclusion zor	ne, pile driving act	tivities must cease	se until all marine
exclusion zone	e ineir respeci	ive exclusion zo	ne or they have	e not been signled	a for 30 minutes	within their respective
Discussed:	X Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable
	$\square$ No	identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	$\square$ No	
2.2.9.9 If under	water noise re	cordings reveal	that the thresh	l old of 160 dB is e	exceeded at the	1 km exclusion zone
boundary, the e	exclusion zone	e radius must be	widened to a r	new outer limit, wh	nere sound recor	rdings demonstrate that
the 160 dB thre	eshold is not e	xceeded. Condit	ions 2.2.9.6 to	2.2.9.8 will need	to be complied v	vith within this new
Discussed:	M Vaa			leeuo(e)		Not applicable 🗆
Discussed.		identified		unresolved.		
2 2 0 10 Dilo di		ha corried out a	Auring doulight	hours to opoble of		onitoring of marina
mammal exclus	sion zones.		uning daylight			Ionitoning of manne
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable
	🗆 No	identified:	🖂 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments						
TM are carryi	ng out marine	e mammal mor	nitoring. Harbo	our seals were o	bserved by TM	1, but not prior or during
pile driving as	no work sto	ppages were n	oted on the c	onference call.	-	
Action Items						
None.						

# Measures specified within the Westridge Marine Terminal Environmental Protection Plan:

### Fish Salvage

35. Immediately following the installation of each sheet pile cell, and prior to excavation and infilling of that cell, conduct a salvage of commercial, recreational and Aboriginal (CRA) fishery species via crab and fish trapping/netting and



seines (where appropriate). Release captured CRA fishery species in a suitable habitat at least 500 m away from marine construction activities.						
Discussed:	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🖂
	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments						
No fish salvaç	ge has taken	place at WMT	over the past	two weeks.		
Action Items						
None.						
<b>Turbidity M</b>	onitoring					
43. Should visual monitoring during in-water pile installation indicate concern regarding turbidity levels, the Environmental Inspector will arrange for in situ sampling of turbidity (nephelometric turbidity units). Should turbidity levels exceed specified thresholds, pile driving will temporarily be halted						
Discussed:		lssue(s)		lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🛛
	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments						
Turbidity curtains are in place and water quality monitoring has recorded no exceedance in water quality guidelines for turbidity outside of the turbidity curtain. TM have installed a new custom made turbidity curtain, which is more durable than the previous curtain, and is contoured to the seafloor.						
Action Items						
None.						

# MITIGATION MEASURES SPECIFIC TO FORESHORE CONSTRUCTION

Riparian Planting and Material Handling							
Westridge M	larine Termi	nal Fisheries	Act Authoriz	ation Conditio	ns		
2.2.4 Disturbed	l riparian areas	s shall be replan	ted as appropr	iate, with native r	non-invasive spe	cies of vegetation.	
Discussed:	□ Yes	lssue(s)	🗆 Yes	lssue(s)	🗆 Yes	Not applicable 🛛	
	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No		
Westridge M	Westridge Marine Terminal Environmental Protection Plan Commitments						
30. Unless othe accordance with	30. Unless otherwise approved by DFO, retain all excavated [marine] material and dispose at a land-based facility in accordance with applicable regulations.						
Discussed:	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	lssue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🛛	
	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No		
Comments							
Not applicable	e.						
Action Items							
None.							

# Water Quality Maintenance and Monitoring

Westridge Marine Terminal Fisheries Act Authorization Conditions



2.2.1 Effective	2.2.1 Effective sediment and erosion control measures (e.g., a turbidity curtain, etc.) shall be implemented before					
starting construction and shall be maintained during construction activities, as appropriate, to avoid the deposit and						
dispersion of s	ediment into tr	e marine enviro	nment.			
Discussed:	🛛 Yes	Issue(s)		issue(s)		Not applicable 🗆
	🗆 No	Identified:	⊠ No	unresolved:	□ No	
2.2.10 A turbid	ity curtain mus	t be used to isol	ate the work a	rea during the exc	avation of riprap	in order to contain
marine sedime	ent suspended	in the water colu	imn and limit th	ne extent of sedim	ient dispersion. I	During severe weather
conditions that	may reduce tr	ie effectiveness	of, or impede t	ne visual monitor	ing of, the turbid	ty curtain (e.g., $> 70$ km/n
turbidity curtair	n or adverselv	affect the integrit	tv of the turbidi	itv curtain. must b	e suspended.	
Discussed:	□ Yes	Issue(s)	Yes	Issue(s)	□ Yes	Not applicable 🖂
	🛛 No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	••
Westridge N	larine Termi	nal Environme	ental Protec	tion Plan Comi	nitments	
29. During in-v	vater excavatio	n or rip rap, con	duct water qua	lity monitoring (W	/QM) as per the '	Water Quality
Management F	Plan during Rip	Rap Removal (	Appendix H of	this EPP). Condu	ct WQM to asse	ss the effectiveness of
the turbidity cu	rtain and modi	ty turbidity curta	in deployment,	If required.		
Discussed:		issue(s)		issue(s)		Not applicable 🖂
	⊠ No	identified:	🗆 No	unresolved:	□ No	
Westridge N	larine Termi	nal Sediment	and Erosion	Control Plan	Commitments	
The in-water se	ediment curtair	n will remain inta	ct during Fore	shore construction	n activities to ens	sure sediment laden
water is not dis	scharged into E	Burrard inlet.				
Discussed:	⊠ Yes	Issue(s)	∐ Yes	Issue(s)	∐ Yes	Not applicable 🗆
	🗆 No	identified:	🛛 No	unresolved:	🗆 No	
Comments						
TM did not id	entify any iss	ues related to t	he turbidity c	urtain that was p	present in photo	ographs of the
nearshore are	ea.					
Action Items	5					
None.						
<u> </u>						

Additional comments or action items	
None.	